From: Saucedo, Luis E (CRT) <Luis.E.Saucedo@usdoj.gov>

**Sent:** Friday, June 18, 2021 7:39 PM

To: John Romero; Denise Rodriguez; ra@calopsc.com; Javier Gonzalez; Luis Hidalgo; Alejandro del

Carmen; Tom Petrowski; Gary Loeffert; Rafael Barreto-Sola; Gabriel A. Peñagarícano-Valdés; Marta L. Rivera-Ruiz; Arthur Garffer; cjfigueroa; Jose C Vazquez Rivera (PPR); Castillo, Jorge (CRT); Lopez, Sarah

(CRT); Chahal, Simrandeep (CRT)

Subject: RI

RE: PRPB: June 253 Meeting

Attachments: Tabla Distribución \$11 M

Tabla Distribución \$11 Millones; Pages from 992 Transcript of Aug 2018 Status Conference-2.pdf

#### Good evening,

I'm writing to follow up on our request for information regarding the Commonwealth's expenditures from reform-related allocations for FY20-21 (item 7 in the 253 meeting agenda). Our discussion today centered around the annual \$20M allocation. We'd like to include, as part of our request for information on expenditures, the \$11M allocation that PRPB told us would be used for IT expenses. For reference, I'm attaching an email from LTC Alba Diaz, dated 10/22/20, with an attachment containing a table showing the distribution of the \$11M.

I'm also including relevant pages from the court transcript of the August 20, 2018, status conference where the Court heard presentations on the use of the \$20M annual allocations and funds received from DOJ's Equitable Sharing Program. You'll see that for the first five years of the case, the Commonwealth did not spend about \$20M from \$100M allocated. This prompted the Court to express concern and to instruct the Commonwealth to submit monthly accounting reports on the expenditure of these funds to the Monitor and DOJ. Our request today is consistent with the Court's 2018 instructions.

Please let us know if you have any questions or would like to discuss. Thank you,
Luis

## Luis E. Saucedo | Senior Trial Attorney | U.S. Department of Justice

213.894.6117 (o) | 202.598.0482 (c) | luis.e.saucedo@usdoj.gov

From: Saucedo, Luis E (CRT)

Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2021 1:04 PM

To: John Romero <jrrjjr.romero@gmail.com>; 'Denise Rodriguez' <DRodriguez\_PRl@outlook.com>; 'ra@calopsc.com' <ra@calopsc.com>; 'Javier Gonzalez' <javier.benito@me.com>; 'Luis Hidalgo' <luis.g.hidalgo@gmail.com>; 'Alejandro del Carmen' <delcarmen@specialmasterpuertorico.com>; 'Tom Petrowski' <petrowski@specialmasterpuertorico.com>; 'Gary (gloeffert@specialmasterpuertorico.com)' <gloeffert@specialmasterpuertorico.com>; 'Rafael Barreto-Sola' <RBarreto@cnr.law>; 'Gabriel A. Peñagarícano-Valdés' <gpenagaricano@cnr.law>; 'Marta L. Rivera-Ruiz' <mrivera@cnr.law>; 'Arthur Garffer' <AGarffer@dsp.pr.gov>; 'cjfigueroa' <cjfigueroa@policia.pr.gov>; 'Jose C Vazquez Rivera (PPR)' <JCVazquez3@policia.pr.gov>; Castillo, Jorge (CRT) <Jorge.Castillo@usdoj.gov>; Lopez, Sarah (CRT) <Sarah.Lopez@usdoj.gov>; Chahal, Simrandeep (CRT) <Simrandeep.Chahal@usdoj.gov> Subject: RE: PRPB: June 253 Meeting

Hello all,

Below is a proposed agenda for the 253 meeting tomorrow.

1. Follow-up on periodic meetings with PRPB regarding document production

- 2. Update on finalizing protocol on appointments to auxiliary commissioner positions
- 3. Interviews with PRPB personnel how to address when staff are on leave or unavailable for interviews
- 4. Follow-up on allegations of cheating in virtual training courses (we did not cover this during the tour last week)
- 5. Update on timing for draft CMR-4
- 6. Update on conducting community survey under Paragraph 241
- 7. Expenditures from Reform Budget we'd like to resume getting updated information on reform-related expenditures. See, e.g., attached spreadsheet from FY18-19.
- 8. Status of policy review for June 2021 and projection of upcoming policies for July 2021.

Please let us know if you have any other issues or topics to add. Thank you, Luis

### Luis E. Saucedo | Senior Trial Attorney | U.S. Department of Justice

213.894.6117 (o) | 202.598.0482 (c) | <u>luis.e.saucedo@usdoj.gov</u>

----Original Appointment----From: Saucedo, Luis E (CRT)

Sent: Tuesday, June 8, 2021 9:34 PM

To: John Romero; Denise Rodriguez; <u>ra@calopsc.com</u>; Javier Gonzalez; Luis Hidalgo; Alejandro del Carmen; Tom Petrowski; Gary (<u>gloeffert@specialmasterpuertorico.com</u>); Rafael Barreto-Sola; Gabriel A. Peñagarícano-Valdés; Marta L. Rivera-Ruiz; Arthur Garffer; cjfigueroa; Jose C Vazquez Rivera (PPR); Castillo, Jorge (CRT); Lopez, Sarah (CRT); Chahal,

Simrandeep (CRT)

Subject: PRPB: June 253 Meeting

When: Friday, June 18, 2021 10:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

Where: ZoomGov

Join ZoomGov Meeting

https://www.zoomgov.com/j/16126398439

Meeting ID: 161 2639 8439

One tap mobile

- +16692545252, 16126398439# US (San Jose)
- +16468287666, 16126398439# US (New York)

Dial by your location

- +1 669 254 5252 US (San Jose)
- +1 646 828 7666 US (New York)

Meeting ID: 161 2639 8439

Find your local number: https://www.zoomgov.com/u/awEh42CyA

Join by SIP 16126398439@sip.zoomgov.com

Join by H.323 161.199.138.10 (US West) 161.199.136.10 (US East) Meeting ID: 161 2639 8439 From:

Alba | Diaz Torres < AlDiaz 2@policia.pr.gov>

Sent:

Thursday, October 22, 2020 10:08 AM

To:

rbandas@cnr.law; Saucedo, Luis E (CRT); john romero

Cc:

Clementina Vega Rosario; Iran Sanchez Davila

Subject:

Tabla Distribución \$11 Millones

**Attachments:** 

Distribución Nueva Asignación \$11 Millones.docx

#### Buenos días:

Según acordado en la reunión del día de ayer adjunto la tabla solicitada. Nótese que de las cuatro partidas señaladas, la de la Actualización del CAD y la de los 1,300 Radios Portátiles son actuales, o sea, ya se certificaron y procesaron. Las otras dos partidas separadas están en espera de la cuantía final según se soliciten, lo anotado en estas representa una proyección.

## Cordialmente,

Tnte. Cnel. Alba Iris Díaz Torres 2-18280 Directora Auxiliar Oficina de Reforma Tel 787-793-1234 Ext 2420 o 2422 787-646-1527 aidiaz2@policia.pr.gov

# Distribución Fondos Nueva Asignación \$11 Millones:

Servicio o Equipo	Presupuestado
Actualización CAD Dispatch, Mobile y GTE	\$3,482,070.00
1era Fase (80 Módulos) Inclusión 332 Formularios al CAD e Interconexión	\$2,400,000.00
1,300 Radios Portátiles y Accesorios	\$2,444,000.00
1,266 "Tablets" de Grado Militar (\$2,094.00 cada una)	\$2,651,004.00
Incentivo Retención de Personal en la Oficina de Reforma	N/A
Total:	\$10,977,074.00

cooperation so we don't have to reach this extent.

And obviously the most drastic sanction would be a receivership over the entire police bureau -- which, again, don't put me in that position, and I hope it's not going to happen. But I need to alert everybody because of the importance of this issue.

Now, those are some general comments that I have. We're going to begin with -- I know there's a canine out there somewhere so perhaps if we can begin with the canine division.

Yes?

(The Court confers with the Monitor.)

THE COURT: Let me go to budget first and then we'll talk about the canine division. So, let me make some general comments. What the procedure is going to be throughout the afternoon, I'll hear first from Mr. Saucedo then I'll hear from the Commonwealth. If the Monitor has any comments also I will hear from him as to that item. And then at the end of the day I know the Commissioner's here, Mr. Orona is here, so I will allow him to make other general comments in the bigger framework.

So as to -- let me begin as to the budget and I'll make a general observation -- I'll make two general observations and then I'll hear from

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
everybody.
           Now, I do note that, again, this is -- I
have information that's been provided for the budget
for the years 2014, 2015, 2016, -17, and -18, for the
years this case has been ongoing. Now, what is
concerning to the Court is the fact that
approximately there's $20 million a year that are
provided for the Reform aside from -- again, this has
nothing to do with the Monitor's office, but from the
Reform for the Police of Puerto Rico. And looking
longitudinally at all those years, there are years
when most of the budget has been used but, for
example, in 2017 there's almost like $15 million that
were not used throughout that year. And right now
we're in -- that's fiscal year 2017/18. We're in the
new fiscal year 2018/19 so that's not startling.
         There's another item I'd like to ask also.
In 2014 I see there's almost $7 million in travel.
Again, I know there was a different administration
but I'd like to ask if anybody has an idea why was so
much spent in travel. What kind of travel?
                                             I just
can't fathom spending that amount of money.
other issue --
         MR. TORRES-ORTÍZ: Your Honor, if I may.
         THE COURT: Yes.
         MR. TORRES-ORTÍZ:
                            If I may just correct
```

that. I just talked with the police director from the reform office and they explain me that the table that was given has an error because it's supposed to say -- in Spanish it's gasto de viaje and misceláneo. So it would be miscellaneous also. So there are other costs other than just travel.

THE COURT: Okay, but I would like to know what's miscellaneous, what's other, what's travel.

Because, for example, 2014 if we put travel and miscellaneous, \$6,659,306.17. That's a lot. 2015, \$1,720,900.70. 2016, no travel, no expenditures.

2017, \$14,000; 2018 so far it's been 32,000. But, again, those numbers -- at least those two first years 2014 -- 15 are alarming. And, again, I'd like to know -- this was not during this administration, but I'd like to know what was that.

The other thing I think is important for purposes of the budget, and I'll just make a general comment, when -- I know there's been issues throughout the entire island, the government, with PROMESA but specifically Section 204/1, this is a case that there's an agreement between the parties and cases that there's an agreement and payments have to be made or disbursed such as this, the fiscal board cannot touch upon those.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the hierarchy, you know -- and, again, I know this is probably an appeal but even assuming the fiscal board is correct that there's the three branches of the constitutional government in Puerto Rico -- you have the executive, legislative, and judicial, and because of PROMESA the fiscal board has been put on top for fiscal matters, if ultimately that is -- I know Judge Swain basically made that ruling -- again, that can be appealed, it can be taken to the Supreme Court if necessary -- but even assuming that ruling is sustained, the PROMESA board -- you know, this court is a federal court, the PROMESA board is a Commonwealth entity created by federal law, but it's a Commonwealth entity so the fiscal board must respect and has to oblige to any rulings pertaining to budget in the police reform. The fiscal board cannot say, Oh, it's 20 million per year, we're going to give it 13 million. That cannot be touched. So I just want to make that very clear because the budget in this case is outside of the scope of PROMESA. It is the government's budget, but that is -- the PROMESA law specifically

looks into that.

So, having said that, what I'd like to hear regarding budget first is to hear from Mr. Saucedo

then I'll hear from Mr. Torres.

MR. SAUCEDO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. With respect to budget, the parties and the TCA had a meetings last week at police headquarters where these figures were presented including the spent and unspent funds during the capacity-building period which began with the appointment of TCA in June of 2014.

We share the Court's concern about some of these funds being unspent. Last year we had a bit of a crises where the money that had been allocated from the asset forfeiture program were frozen and that led to a number of requests for materials, for training, for other critical needs of the police bureau to have gone unmet for a period of time. Luckily, we did have a breakthrough ultimately, but I note that it coincided with the 2017 year where a large portion of the budget was unspent. That is approximately \$15 million.

The TCA office and the members of his team are every month visiting precincts all over the island and they're noting serious deficiencies in the lack of radios, the lack of other critical equipment; and so it's of concern that this money was gone and spent given the number of needs that Puerto Rico has

to meet.

Ultimately, Your Honor, the capacity-building period was included as part of this case to put the police in the best possible position to successfully implement the Agreement. So there have been many investments made. Our understanding is that a lot of the more costly information systems are still in development, being implemented; but they were started during the capacity-building period and those are moving along.

Your Honor, as you also noted, PROMESA at Section 204 and then again later in Section 304 the law itself provides that the fiscal control board cannot impede the actions taken by the Commonwealth to comply with the consent decree. So one has to ask sort of what was happening within the Commonwealth for this to have happened given that PROMESA was not an impediment itself to the funds that were being allocated.

I also -- I do want to note, Your Honor, that both the certified budget and I believe also the other budgets that were approved this fiscal year include the \$20 million. And we know that --

THE COURT: So for this year's budget again there's no issue with the fiscal board. Last year or

this year there have not been any issues.

MR. SAUCEDO: That's correct, Your Honor.

That's correct. And we would ask, Your Honor, that in the self reports that the Commonwealth provides to this Court -- the next one is due September 24th -- that those reports include the same information that we're receiving today, the number of -- the amount of money allocated and the amount of money spent; because we do believe that it's very important, given the challenges facing the island, that there be greater transparency in the use of these funds. And so --

THE COURT: We're beginning in October the monitoring phase. What I'm going to ask is that on the 1st of each month the Commonwealth inform the parties -- and, again, this doesn't have to be filed with the Court -- and actually I would like to see it also on a monthly basis. But what I'll do is give it informally to the parties, I'm going to ask the Monitor to provide me a copy just so I can see it, but it should be provided the 1st of each month. That's my directive as of now on. And I think it's important because that way either the U.S. DOJ or the Commonwealth -- the Commonwealth or the TCA, anybody can say, What's this item or why haven't we spent

this amount or why have we spent more? And I think it helps everybody so...

MR. SAUCEDO: Your Honor, I have nothing further on the budget.

THE COURT: Okay, let me just double-check if I have any questions for you and, if not, I'll go to the Commonwealth. Let me then hear -- and I want to recognize -- I don't know if Mr. Torres noted that she was here but Clementina Vega, the director of the police reform office, is here as well.

Now, Mr. Torres, my question then I'll hear from you in regards to everything that I've mentioned and Mr. Saucedo, but my question is if -- I understand, for example, if last year there was budget that was unused, approximately 15 million or a little over that, is that budget still available to the Reform or where is that budget? And if it's not available, was it spent or what happened? That's my big question. Sorry to put you in that spot but...

MR. TORRES-ORTÍZ: Yes, Your Honor. Well, because of Law 26 of the Commonwealth, that money that was not used for the Commonwealth -- I mean, for the police reform, it was sent back to the Department of Treasury of Puerto Rico. So, it is not available at this moment to be used in the police reform.

But --

THE COURT: So that money what was not used for any past fiscal year, Treasury has that or it's not earmarked for the reform anymore?

MR. TORRES-ORTÍZ: Yes, Your Honor. And that's due to local Law 26 of the Commonwealth.

THE COURT: So what you're saying is that when local Law 26 was enacted due to the, I guess, bureaucratic, administrative, all those other changes, those moneys were not used to further the Reform?

MR. TORRES-ORTÍZ: They were not used, Your Honor. But I think it's important to note -- and I would like to allow the budget director to give more information on the budget across the board on the whole year. But I think it is important to know that, you know, in these past years \$80 million have been used in the police reform; and that's an incredible amount of money that could not have been used if this police reform wasn't in place. So, you know, the Commonwealth has to thank Mr. Saucedo, the United States, and also the Court and TCA because without this police reform we wouldn't be able to use and invest in the police \$80 million.

We need to have better use of the

\$20 million that are being assigned each year. I think it's important that, you know, we recognize that that year was a transition administration year and, you know, that brings, you know, its difficulties. And we want to take measures so that that does not happen again.

And we have to agree that the order that you just informed the Court and we will comply with that order. And first month we will talk how we're going to comply and inform, you know, the money that is being used for the police reform.

THE COURT: For example, compare if you add 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that's \$80 million; 20 million for each year of the Reform. And almost every year I would say at least -- I have the numbers here. In 2014, \$985,973.27 were not used, so lalmost 19 million were used.

In 2015, 17,331,049.78 were used, so approximately 2.23 million were not used. In 2016, \$19,382,549.08 were used, so that left 617,450.92 not used. But then we go to 2017, it's \$4,853,822.13 used, but that leaves 15,146,177.87.

Now, if we look at those four years globally, out of \$80 million that were provided by the legislative assembly to the Reform that means

that almost 20 million, so a fourth were not used for the reform. And, again, last year it was the bill bulk, it was 50 million, but in the other years -- so it's money that's been available there that the legislative hasn't used.

Now, if we go to 2018 and the fiscal year for 2018 began in July, so we have one month and we're halfway through August. Out of those 20 million, \$6,633,419.16 has been used. Now, I don't know if this is a red flag or not, but it would appear to me that we have 12 months, it should be more than that. Or, again, there may be other months where there's other things coming, but that's how we stand now. And I'm not raising a red flag, but if for every other month that is the amount spent, there is going to be probably about 13, 14 million unused.

So, that is the Court's concern. And, again, I think that's why the money has to be tracked so it's used. U.S. DOJ and the Monitor can always recommend, You're not using this, or it can ask -- again, I think this is important because we see how the moneys are spent in attorneys, medical services, professional services, purchases, materials, equipment.

Something I -- again, when you look to

```
1
     equipment, this year out of one month in the fiscal
2
     year almost 5 million --
3
              MR. ORONA-AMILIVIA: Your Honor, if I may
     interject, to be clear on the table, that's the
4
5
     fiscal year. So when it says 2017 it's fiscal year
     2016-2017.
6
7
              THE COURT: Yes, that ends in 2017.
8
              MR. ORONA-AMILIVIA: So when we're talking
9
     about 2018 it's the past fiscal year, 2017-2018.
                                                        So
10
     the $19.3 million that's for the past fiscal year.
11
              THE COURT: Yes, for 2018 almost the whole
12
     budget was used.
13
              MR. ORONA-AMILIVIA: Yes, exactly.
14
              THE COURT: And now we're beginning with the
15
     new budget. This doesn't -- again, that was my
16
     mistake.
17
              MR. SAUCEDO: Your Honor, one other point of
18
     clarification and that is that the Commonwealth
19
     included fiscal year 2013-14, so in fact it's
20
     five years, not four years.
21
              THE COURT: It's five years.
              MR. SAUCEDO: That's correct. So there's
22
23
     $100 million that were assigned for Reform.
24
              THE COURT: Out of which I believe
25
     20-something million were not used in that five-year
```

1 period. 2 MR. SAUCEDO: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: So it would be probably about a fourth of ... 4 5 So, again, I think if -- I have no other issues. I don't know if the Monitor has 6 7 anything he'd like to mention about this. I think 8 with my directives for the first of each month that 9 the Monitor and U.S. DOJ be provided this breakdown 10 everybody will be in a better position. agree, Mr. Claudio? 11 TCA ARNALDO CLAUDIO: Yes, Your Honor, I 12 13 The only thing that I would add to that is the fact that when there's a distribution of money 14 15 within the Reform, it needs to be very clear in the 16 aspects of what is being used. To use "travel" and 17 "miscellaneous" is not going to cut it; they have to 18 be very specific. 19

THE COURT: So they should be separated.

And this fiscal year is beginning, but I think it's important. And, again, the Monitor or U.S. DOJ may have more specific questions so whenever that's asked that should be provided because it could be what kind of travel -- was this training? Was this other type of travel? So, that's Issue 1.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let's move on to the second matter on the agenda and that is the canine division. Let me note, as my introductory remarks that I believe it was towards the end of 2016 along with Mr. Claudio -- and Attorney Saucedo arrived after finding it a little late, but he did make it there because it's almost impossible to find the canine unit. But we went there. There had been many issues in the years before 2016 of the canine unit, the handler, the kennel master had to be recertified, again it was sent. And there were a lot of issues.

The kennel master I believe in 2016 or late 2015 went to training in Indiana -- I think it was in Indiana somewhere, he got recertified. And then other additional folks in the division were certified as well. A lot of money was invested in that division, and around the end of 2016 it was operating like at a 90 percent capacity. It was very impressive compared to what it had before.

Now, the information that I have is notwithstanding that investment and the funds for that division the operation is somewhere around 50 percent or less. I think these canines -- I don't think, I know from my perspective as a judge, they're very useful. I've had several cases where obviously